

51% of Brazilians voted for Bolsonaro in 2018. Did one's race (a categorical variable have an association with vote choice? The cross table percentages make it look like one's race was associated with voting, but would we find this same association in repeated sampling?

			him	Bolsonaro	Total
Ethnicity	White	Count	99	190	289
		% within Ethnicity	34.3%	65.7%	100.0%
	Indigenous	Count	8	7	15
		% within Ethnicity	53.3%	46.7%	100.0%
	Black	Count	94	90	184
		% within Ethnicity	51.1%	48.9%	100.0%
	Mulatto	Count	224	228	452
		% within Ethnicity	49.6%	50.4%	100.0%
	Other	Count	4	7	TL OL:
		% within Ethnicity	36.4%	63.6%	The Chi-squ repeated sa
	Asian	Count	24	23	the pattern
		% within Ethnicity	51.1%	48.9%	different rac
Total		Count	453	545	likely to vot

45.4%

54.6%

Chi	-Square Te	/	
	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	21.383ª	5	<.001
Likelihood Ratio	21.693	5	<.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	.675	1	.411
N of Valid Cases	998		

% within Ethnicity

a. 1 cells (8.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.99.

The Chi-square test shows us that repeated sampling would find that the pattern we see above—people of different races being more or less likely to vote for Bolsonaro would be found consistently. The Chi-Square statistics p-value is <.001, meaning that 1000 similarly collected samples should all find that there is an associate between race and voice choice in 2018.

As long as the p-value is .05 or smaller, there is an association between race and voice choice. If the significance stat were .05, it would mean that we would expect to find this association in 19 out of every 20 samples. If the p-value was .053, we would say there is no statistically meaningful association between the two variables.